

TDIS

**U.S. District Court
Northern District of Texas (Dallas)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:26-mj-01246-BK-1**

Case title: USA v. Salvini

Date Filed: 09/15/2026

Other court case number: 2:26-mj-55-BWC Southern District of Georgia, Brunswick Division Date Terminated: 09/16/2026

Assigned to: Magistrate Judge
Renee Harris Toliver

Defendant (1)

Lakotah Salvini

TERMINATED: 09/16/2026

represented by **Federal Public Defender**

Federal Public Defender – Dallas

525 Griffin St

Suite 629

Dallas, TX 75202

214-767-2746

Fax: 214-767-2886

Email: jason_hawkins@fd.org

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Designation: Federal Public Defender Appointment

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Pending Counts

None

Disposition

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

None

Terminated Counts

None

Disposition

**Highest Offense Level
(Terminated)**

None

Complaints

18 U.S.C. § 912 18 U.S.C. 371 18

U.S.C. § 2 Impersonating an

Officer or Employee of the United

Disposition

States Conspiracy Aiding &
Abetting

Plaintiff

USA

represented by **Jongwoo Chung-DOJ**
DOJ-USAO
Northern District of Texas – Dallas
Division
1100 Commerce Street
Third Floor
Dallas, TX 75242
214-659-8600
Email: jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: US Attorney's Office
Bar Status: Not Admitted

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
09/15/2026	<u>1</u>	Rule 5 Complaint as to Lakotah Salvini (1). In each Notice of Electronic Filing, the judge assignment is indicated, and a link to the <u>Judges Copy Requirements</u> and <u>Judge Specific Requirements</u> is provided. The court reminds the filer that any required copy of this and future documents must be delivered to the judge, in the manner prescribed, within three business days of filing. (mcrd) (Entered: 09/15/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>2</u>	MOTION for Detention filed by USA as to Lakotah Salvini. (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>3</u>	***DISREGARD-ENTERED IN ERROR*** ORDER APPOINTING FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER as to Lakotah Salvini. federal for Lakotah Salvini appointed. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd) Modified on 9/16/2026 (mcrd). (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>4</u>	ORDER APPOINTING FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER as to Lakotah Salvini. Federal Public Defender for Lakotah Salvini appointed. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	5	ELECTRONIC Minute Entry for proceedings held before Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver: Initial Appearance as to Lakotah Salvini held on 9/16/2026. Date of Arrest: 9/15/2026. The judge issued the oral order required by Fed. R. Crim. P. 5(f)(1). Written order to follow. Attorney Appearances: AUSA – Daniel Chung; Defense – Maria Pedraza. (No exhibits) Time in Court – :04. (Court Reporter: Digital File) (Interpreter NA.) (USPO Thomas.) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	6	ELECTRONIC ORDER As to Lakotah Salvini: by this order -- issued to the prosecution and defense counsel -- the court confirms the disclosure obligation of the prosecutor under <i>Brady v. Maryland</i> , 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and the possible consequences of violating such order under applicable law. This written order is entered pursuant to Rule 5(f)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and is in addition to the oral order entered by the court on the first

		scheduled court date when both the prosecutor and defense counsel were present. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>7</u>	ELECTRONIC Minute Entry for proceedings held before Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver: Detention Hearing as to Lakotah Salvini held on 9/16/2026. Attorney Appearances: AUSA – Daniel Chung; Defense – Maria Pedraza. (No exhibits) Time in Court – 1:07. (Court Reporter: Digital File) (Interpreter NA.) (USPO Thomas.) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>8</u>	ORDER granting <u>2</u> Motion for Detention as to Lakotah Salvini (1). (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/16/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>9</u>	(Document Restricted) CJA 23 Financial Affidavit by Lakotah Salvini. (mcrd) (Entered: 09/17/2026)
09/16/2026	<u>10</u>	Report of Proceedings under Rule 5(c)(3) and 5.1 as to Lakotah Salvini. Defendant is removed forthwith to the district in which he is charged. Paperwork sent to Southern District of Georgia (Brunswick Division). (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd) (Entered: 09/17/2026)

Sealed documents are no longer available in CM/ECF or via PACER.

Please contact the court directly to request access to the document.

Reference for court use only

<https://storage.gtwy.dcn:8443/v1/file/txnd.0042217895.38600797.344895.json>

Sealed documents are no longer available in CM/ECF or via PACER.

Please contact the court directly to request access to the document.

Reference for court use only

<https://storage.gtwy.dcn:8443/v1/file/txnd.6318217895.20037521.257228.json>

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE Northern District of Texas
Dallas**

USA

Plaintiff,

v.

Lakotah Salvini

Defendant.


)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case Number: 3:26-mj-01246-BK

ORDER APPOINTING FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

Based on the defendant's sworn Financial Affidavit and representations in open court, the Court finds that the defendant is financially unable to obtain counsel. Pursuant to 18 USC § 3006A, the Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of Texas is appointed as counsel of record for the defendant for all proceedings, including any appeal.

SO ORDERED ON 9/16/2026.



Renee Harris Toliver
Magistrate Judge

MIME-Version:1.0

From:ecf_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov

To:Courtmail@localhost.localdomain

Bcc:

--Case Participants: Federal Public Defender (alma_carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org, cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, jason_hawkins@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org), Jongwoo Chung-DOJ (ashley.jacques@usdoj.gov, caseview.ecf@usdoj.gov, heather.sultana@usdoj.gov, jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov, sophia.diepenmaat@usdoj.gov), Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver (elise_gonzalez@txnd.uscourts.gov, garrett_carroll@txnd.uscourts.gov, jada_wyrick@txnd.uscourts.gov, judge_toliver_ecfdocs@txnd.uscourts.gov, lina_figari@txnd.uscourts.gov, mervin_wright@txnd.uscourts.gov, sabrina_goreham@txnd.uscourts.gov)
--Non Case Participants: Dallas Assist Team (docket_team@txnd.uscourts.gov), Probation Office (txnp_edocs-pro@txnp.uscourts.gov)
--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:17464629@txnd.uscourts.gov

Subject:Activity in Case 3:26-mj-01246-BK USA v. Salvini Initial Appearance

Content-Type: text/html

If you need to know whether you must send the presiding judge a paper copy of a document that you have docketed in this case, click here: Judges' Copy Requirements. Unless exempted, attorneys who are not admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas must seek admission promptly. Forms and Instructions found at www.txnd.uscourts.gov. If admission requirements are not satisfied within 21 days, the clerk will notify the presiding judge.

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Texas

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 9/16/2026 at 12:30 PM CDT and filed on 9/16/2026

Case Name: USA v. Salvini

Case Number: 3:26-mj-01246-BK

Filer:

Document Number: 5(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ELECTRONIC Minute Entry for proceedings held before Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver: Initial Appearance as to Lakotah Salvini held on 9/16/2026. Date of Arrest: 9/15/2026. The judge issued the oral order required by Fed. R. Crim. P. 5(f)(1). Written order to follow. Attorney Appearances: AUSA – Daniel Chung; Defense – Maria Pedraza. (No exhibits) Time in Court – :04. (Court Reporter: Digital File) (Interpreter NA.) (USPO Thomas.) (mcrd)

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Federal Public Defender jason_hawkins@fd.org, Alma_Carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org, cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org

Jongwoo Chung-DOJ jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, Ashley.Jacques@usdoj.gov,
CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, Heather.Sultana@usdoj.gov, Sophia.Diepenmaat@usdoj.gov,
jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 The CM/ECF system has NOT delivered notice electronically to the names listed below. The clerk's office will serve notice of court Orders and Judgments by mail as required by the federal rules. An attorney/pro se litigant is cautioned to carefully follow the federal rules (see FedRCivP 5) with regard to service of any document the attorney/pro se litigant has filed with the court. The clerk's office will not serve paper documents on behalf of an attorney/pro se litigant.

MIME-Version:1.0

From:ecf_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov

To:Courtmail@localhost.localdomain

Bcc:

--Case Participants: Jongwoo Chung-DOJ (ashley.jacques@usdoj.gov, caseview.ecf@usdoj.gov, heather.sultana@usdoj.gov, jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov, sophia.diepenmaat@usdoj.gov), Federal Public Defender (alma_carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org, cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, jason_hawkins@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org), Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver (elise_gonzalez@txnd.uscourts.gov, garrett_carroll@txnd.uscourts.gov, jada_wyrick@txnd.uscourts.gov, judge_toliver_ecfdocs@txnd.uscourts.gov, lina_figari@txnd.uscourts.gov, mervin_wright@txnd.uscourts.gov, sabrina_goreham@txnd.uscourts.gov)

--Non Case Participants:

--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:17464639@txnd.uscourts.gov

Subject:Activity in Case 3:26-mj-01246-BK USA v. Salvini Rule 5(f)(1) Order

Content-Type: text/html

If you need to know whether you must send the presiding judge a paper copy of a document that you have docketed in this case, click here: Judges' Copy Requirements. Unless exempted, attorneys who are not admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas must seek admission promptly. Forms and Instructions found at www.txnd.uscourts.gov. If admission requirements are not satisfied within 21 days, the clerk will notify the presiding judge.

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Texas

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 9/16/2026 at 12:31 PM CDT and filed on 9/16/2026

Case Name: USA v. Salvini

Case Number: 3:26-mj-01246-BK

Filer:

Document Number: 6(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ELECTRONIC ORDER As to Lakotah Salvini: by this order -- issued to the prosecution and defense counsel -- the court confirms the disclosure obligation of the prosecutor under *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and the possible consequences of violating such order under applicable law.

This written order is entered pursuant to Rule 5(f)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and is in addition to the oral order entered by the court on the first scheduled court date when both the prosecutor and defense counsel were present. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver on 9/16/2026) (mcrd)

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Federal Public Defender jason_hawkins@fd.org, Alma_Carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org,

cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org

Jongwoo Chung-DOJ jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, Ashley.Jacques@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, Heather.Sultana@usdoj.gov, Sophia.Diepenmaat@usdoj.gov, jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 The CM/ECF system has NOT delivered notice electronically to the names listed below. The clerk's office will serve notice of court Orders and Judgments by mail as required by the federal rules. An attorney/pro se litigant is cautioned to carefully follow the federal rules (see FedRCivP 5) with regard to service of any document the attorney/pro se litigant has filed with the court. The clerk's office will not serve paper documents on behalf of an attorney/pro se litigant.

MIME-Version:1.0

From:ecf_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov

To:Courtmail@localhost.localdomain

Bcc:

--Case Participants: Jongwoo Chung-DOJ (ashley.jacques@usdoj.gov, caseview.ecf@usdoj.gov, heather.sultana@usdoj.gov, jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov, sophia.diepenmaat@usdoj.gov), Federal Public Defender (alma_carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org, cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, jason_hawkins@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org), Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver (elise_gonzalez@txnd.uscourts.gov, garrett_carroll@txnd.uscourts.gov, jada_wyrick@txnd.uscourts.gov, judge_toliver_ecfdocs@txnd.uscourts.gov, lina_figari@txnd.uscourts.gov, mervin_wright@txnd.uscourts.gov, sabrina_goreham@txnd.uscourts.gov)
--Non Case Participants: Probation Office (txnp_edocs-pro@txnp.uscourts.gov)
--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:17464763@txnd.uscourts.gov

Subject:Activity in Case 3:26-mj-01246-BK USA v. Salvini Detention Hearing

Content-Type: text/html

If you need to know whether you must send the presiding judge a paper copy of a document that you have docketed in this case, click here: Judges' Copy Requirements. Unless exempted, attorneys who are not admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas must seek admission promptly. Forms and Instructions found at www.txnd.uscourts.gov. If admission requirements are not satisfied within 21 days, the clerk will notify the presiding judge.

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Texas

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 9/16/2026 at 12:56 PM CDT and filed on 9/16/2026

Case Name: USA v. Salvini

Case Number: 3:26-mj-01246-BK

Filer:

Document Number: 7(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ELECTRONIC Minute Entry for proceedings held before Magistrate Judge Renee Harris Toliver: Detention Hearing as to Lakotah Salvini held on 9/16/2026. Attorney Appearances: AUSA – Daniel Chung; Defense – Maria Pedraza. (No exhibits) Time in Court – 1:07. (Court Reporter: Digital File) (Interpreter NA.) (USPO Thomas.) (mcrd)

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Federal Public Defender jason_hawkins@fd.org, Alma_Carrillo@fd.org, charnese_toro@fd.org, cristal_a_ramos@fd.org, joel_page@fd.org, maribel_diaz@fd.org, monaleeza_montalvo@fd.org, shirley_morales@fd.org

Jongwoo Chung-DOJ jongwoo.chung@usdoj.gov, Ashley.Jacques@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, Heather.Sultana@usdoj.gov, Sophia.Diepenmaat@usdoj.gov,

jerece.grayson@usdoj.gov, michael.serritos@usdoj.gov

3:26-mj-01246-BK-1 The CM/ECF system has NOT delivered notice electronically to the names listed below. The clerk's office will serve notice of court Orders and Judgments by mail as required by the federal rules. An attorney/pro se litigant is cautioned to carefully follow the federal rules (see FedRCivP 5) with regard to service of any document the attorney/pro se litigant has filed with the court. The clerk's office will not serve paper documents on behalf of an attorney/pro se litigant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Northern District of Texas

United States of America

v.

LAKOTAH SALVINI

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)

Case No. 3:26-MJ-1246-BK
NDGA No. 2:26-MJ-55-BWC

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ☒ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

- ☐ **A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)** (*previous violator*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
- ☐ **(1)** the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 - ☐ **(a)** a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 - ☐ **(b)** an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; **or**
 - ☐ **(c)** an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); **or**
 - ☐ **(d)** any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
 - ☐ **(e)** any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - (i)** a minor victim; **(ii)** the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
 - (iii)** any other dangerous weapon; or **(iv)** a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; **and**
 - ☐ **(2)** the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**
 - ☐ **(3)** the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**
 - ☐ **(4)** a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

- ☐ **B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)** (*narcotics, firearm, other offenses*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
- ☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 - ☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
 - ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
 - ☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 - ☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

☐ **C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

- ☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (*Part III need not be completed.*)

OR

- ☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- ☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- ☒ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

- ☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
- ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
- ☒ Prior criminal history
- ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
- ☐ History of violence or use of weapons
- ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
- ☒ Lack of stable employment
- ☒ Lack of stable residence
- ☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

- ☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
- ☒ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
- ☒ Lack of legal status in the United States
- ☒ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
- ☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
- ☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
- ☒ Use of alias(es) or false documents
- ☒ Background information unknown or unverified
- ☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Based on the credible evidence, the Court finds probable cause to believe that Defendant committed the offense alleged in the Criminal Complaint pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The Court note that the probable cause is not a high standard and is careful not to confuse it with evidence sufficient to convict Defendant of that charge.


Noting that Defendant left Canada where charges were pending against him and, based on the Order of Removal recently entered by the Immigration Court in this case, he has no legal status. While that fact is not dispositive of the issue of detention, it is nevertheless a significant characteristic that the Court can and has considered in determining whether the Government has met its burden to establish risk of non-appearance.

The Government's Motion for Detention is thus GRANTED.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 09/16/2026



Renée Harris Toliver, United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

LAKOTAH SALVINI (1)


§ Case No. 3:26-mj-01246-BK *SEALED*
§ Other Dist. Docket No. 2:26-mj-55-BWC
§
§ Southern District of Georgia
§ Brunswick Division

**REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER RULES 5(c)(3) and 5.1
AND ORDER ENTERED THEREON**

The defendant is charged in the above-referenced district. Having been arrested in this district on a warrant issued on that/those charge(s), he/she appeared before me for proceedings as follows:

Rule 5(c)(3) Transfer

- ☐ Defendant waived the requirement that a copy of the warrant be produced.
- ☐ The government has produced a copy of the warrant, and
- ☒ The Court finds that the person before the Court is the defendant named in the indictment, information or warrant because:
- ☒ The defendant waived identity hearing.
- ☐ An identity hearing was conducted, and the defendant's identity was established.
- ☐ The Court finds, based on the evidence presented during an identity hearing, that the person before the Court is **NOT** the defendant named in the indictment, information or warrant.



Rule 5.1: Preliminary Hearing

- ☐ No preliminary hearing is necessary because the defendant is charged by indictment.
- ☐ The defendant waived a preliminary hearing.
- ☐ The defendant elected to have a preliminary hearing in the district where the prosecution is pending.
- ☒ The defendant elected to have a preliminary hearing in this district, and based on the evidence presented during the hearing, the Court finds that:
- ☒ There is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the offense(s) charged.
- ☐ There is **NOT** probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the offense(s) charged.

Rule 5(d)(3) Detention Hearing


- ☐ No detention hearing is necessary because the government did not move to detain the defendant.
- ☐ The defendant waived a detention hearing.
- ☐ The defendant elected to have a detention hearing in the district where the prosecution is pending.
- ☒ The defendant elected to have a detention hearing in this district, and based on the evidence presented during the hearing, the Court finds that:
- ☒ The defendant should be detained.
- ☐ The defendant should be released on bond.

ORDER ENTERED ON THE FOREGOING REPORT

TO: UNITED STATES MARSHAL

- ☒ You are commanded to transfer the above-named defendant forthwith to the district in which he/she is charged and there deliver him/~~her~~ to the United States Marshal for that district or to some other officer authorized to receive him/~~her~~.
- ☐ It is ORDERED that this defendant be released from custody on bond pending further proceedings.
- ☐ It is ORDERED that this defendant be discharged.

DATE: 9/16/2026


RENEE HARRIS TOLIVER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE